Key Amino Acids Located within the Transmembrane Domains 5 and 7 Account for the Pharmacological Specificity of the Human V1b Vasopressin Receptor


In mammals, the vasopressin V1b receptor (V1b-R) is known to regulate ACTH secretion and, more recently, stress and anxiety. The characterization of the molecular determinant responsible for its pharmacological selectivity was made possible by the recent discovery of the first V1b antagonist, SSR149415. Based upon the structure of the crystallized bovine rhodopsin, we established a three-dimensional molecular model of interaction between the human V1b-R (hV1b-R) and SSR149415. Four amino acids located in distinct transmembrane helices (fourth, fifth, and seventh) were found potentially responsible for the hV1b-R selectivity. To validate these assumptions, we selectively replaced the leucine 181, methionine 220, alanine 334, and serine 338 residues of hV1a-R by their corresponding amino acids present in the hV1b-R (phenylalanine 164, threonine 203, methionine 324, and asparagine 328, respectively). Four mutants, which all exhibited nanomolar affinities for vasopressin and good coupling to phospholipase C pathway, were generated. hV1a receptors mutated at position 220 and 334 exhibited striking increase in affinity for SSR149415 both in binding and phospholipase C assays at variance with the hV1a-R modified at position 181 or 338. In conclusion, this study provides the first structural features concerning the hV1b-R and highlights the role of few specific residues in its pharmacological selectivity. (Molecular Endocrinology 18: 2777–2789, 2004)

Vasopressin (AVP) is a neurohypophysal hormone involved in a wide range of physiological actions including vasoconstriction, antidiuresis, and stimulation of ACTH (1), catecholamine (2), insulin, glucagon (3), and heart atrial natriuretic factor secretion (4). AVP is also supposed to regulate numerous central functions such as synaptic transmission, central control of body temperature, memory, and, more recently, anxiety and depression behaviors in the rat (5, 6).

This functional diversity is explained by interaction of AVP with three different specific receptor isoforms called V1a, V1b, and V2, on the basis of their pharmacological and G protein-coupling properties (for review see Refs. 1 and 7). The V1a and V1b subtypes are positively coupled to phospholipase Cβ and involved mainly in blood pressure control and regulation of ACTH secretion, respectively. The V2 AVP receptor is coupled to adenylyl cyclase and is responsible for the antidiuretic effect of AVP. All these three receptor isoforms have been cloned and sequenced (for review see Ref. 8). Whatever the species considered, they all share the structural characteristics of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) including the seven α-helical transmembrane (TM) domains, which exhibit strong sequence similarities.

Over the past few years, many selective peptide and nonpeptide agonists or antagonists of AVP receptors exhibiting a good affinity have been characterized (9, 10). For example, SR49059 and d[(CH2)5Tyr(Me2)]AVP are both potent and selective V1a antagonists with nanomolar affinities in various species (9). Similarly, SR121463A is the best selective V2 antagonist described (9). Very recently d[Cha4]AVP and SSR149415 were characterized as the first specific agonist and antagonist of the V1b isoform, respectively (6, 11).

Due to this wide range of physiological effects, high amino acid sequence homology, and complex phar-
maciological properties, the AVP receptor family represents an interesting system to investigate the functional molecular determinants of G protein-coupled receptor isoforms. Previous studies performed on AVP V1a and V2 receptor subtypes (V1a-R and V2-R) have led to valuable information concerning the structure of the binding sites of many ligands. Thus, by mutational analysis and three-dimensional (3D) modeling, Mouillac and co-workers (12) have characterized the binding site of AVP in the human (h)V1a-R. The hormone-binding site is located in a 15–20Å deep cleft surrounded by the top of the TM domains. Additional studies have further demonstrated that residues located in the first extracellular loop and in the N-terminal tail of the receptor also play a role in the binding of AVP (13, 14). Because of the high conservation of the residues involved in the interaction with the hormone, this binding site has been proposed to be common to the three AVP receptor subtypes (12). By using photoaffinity labeling, mutational analysis, and 3D molecular modeling approaches, structural information concerning the pharmacological specificity of the V1a and V2 receptor subtypes was also provided. These studies allowed the characterization of the binding sites of some V1a and V2 peptide or nonpeptide antagonists (15–18). They are located mainly in the top of the seventh TM domain (16), in the first (17) and second extracellular (E2) loops (15). Further studies performed on the V1a and the V2 receptors also led to the identification of residues that differentiate agonist vs. antagonist binding (14, 15, 19).

At variance with the V1a and V2 receptor isoforms, no structural information about the V1b subtype is available. This lack of structural data is due mainly to the absence of V1b-specific pharmacological tools. Very recently, we synthesized [1-deamino, 4-cyclohexylalanine]AVP (d[Cha4]AVP), the first specific peptide agonist of nanomolar affinity for the hV1b-R (11). At the same time Serradeil-Le Gal et al. designed SSR149415, the first nonpeptide antagonist exhibiting an excellent affinity for this AVP receptor isoform (6). These selective pharmacological tools have allowed the discovery of new V1b-R functions. This AVP receptor isoform was thus shown to control some emotional behaviors. On various tests performed in the rat, SSR149415 exhibits potent anxiolytic and antidepressive effects similar to those induced by classical benzodiazepines or antidepressant drugs (20). Such discovery was recently confirmed by the invalidation of this AVP receptor in mice (21). These animals devoid of V1b-Rs displayed a less aggressive behavior as compared with control (21). At the peripheral level, the V1b-Rs are also thought to be potent regulators of pancreas and adrenal functions by their ability to control insulin and catecholamine release respectively (22, 23). The V1b-R may thus represent a new target for drugs of therapeutic interest especially for the treatment of affective disorders. A better understanding of its molecular structure and of the binding mode of its ligands may then represent an important challenge to design new selective and potent drugs.

The purpose of this work is to propose and validate a 3D molecular model of the interactions between the antagonist SSR149415 and the hV1b-R and to characterize the molecular determinants responsible for its ligand selectivity.

RESULTS

Alignment of Amino Acid Sequences and 3D Molecular Modeling

Aligning the amino acid sequences of both hV1a and hV1b AVP receptor subtypes with that of bovine rhodopsin is rather straightforward as rhodopsin-like fingerprints (e.g., NPxxY at TM7; see Ref. 24) are common to the three receptors. Of the 32 TM residues (Fig. 1) supposed to map the TM binding cavity for receptor antagonists (25), only nine differ between V1a and V1b AVP receptor subtypes. Interestingly, four of these residues are clustered in TM7.

The current hV1b-R model was derived directly from a previously reported V1a model that proved useful to discriminate known V1a-R antagonists from randomly chosen drug-like molecules by high-throughput virtual screening (25) and to unambiguously determine the binding mode of the selective V1a antagonist SR49059 by covalent labeling (26). Automated docking of the selective V1b antagonist SSR149415 suggests a binding mode between TMs 3, 5, 6, and 7 (Fig. 2, A and B). The three aromatic moieties of SSR149415 are embedded in three hydrophobic subsites (Fig. 2B). The hydroxyl group of SSR149415 H-bonds to Gln301 side chain, which is conserved for V1a and V1b receptor subtypes, and its amide oxygen atom H bonds to Thr203 side chain at TM5. Of the nine above-mentioned residues varying between hV1a and hV1b receptors, four amino acids (Phe164 on TM4, Thr203 on TM5, Met324 and Asn328 on TM7) are in close contact with SSR149415 in the proposed 3D model. Phe164 is unlikely to explain the V1b selectivity of SSR149415 as it is mutated to a residue (Leu) in the V1a-R that could interact similarly with the latter antagonist. The model suggests Thr203 and Met324 to be much more relevant. Hence, Thr203 and Met324 develop molecular interactions (H bond and hydrophobic contacts, respectively) with the V1b-R, that are not possible with the V1a subtype due to the observed amino acid mutations (Thr203 to Met, Met324 to Ala). Last, Asn328, which is part of the subsite predicted to interact with the dimethoxyphenyl moiety of SSR149415, and mutated to Ser in the hV1a-R, could also be a molecular determinant of the observed V1b selectivity of the investigated antagonist. To validate these predictions, four residues (Phe164, Thr203, Met324, and Asn328) were thus selected for site-directed mutagenesis.
Role of Specific Amino Acid Residues in hV1b-R Selectivity: a Site-Directed Mutagenesis Approach

We selectively replaced the Leu181, Met220, Ala334, and Ser338 residues of hV1a-R by their corresponding amino acids present in the hV1b-R (Phe164, Thr203, Met324, and Asn328, respectively; Fig. 3). These mutants of the hV1a-R were termed L181F, M220T, A334M, and S338N, respectively. As compared with the wild-type V1a-R, they all bound [3H]AVP with nanomolar affinities (dissociation constant \(K_d\)) and were expressed to similar levels (Table 1).

To validate the 3D model of interaction proposed above, we first determined the affinities of the mutated receptors generated for the specific V1b nonpeptide antagonist SSR149415. As shown in Fig. 4 and Table 2, replacement in the hV1a-R sequence of methionine 220 by a threonine residue increased the affinity of the mutant M220T for SSR149415 by 26-fold as compared with the wild-type hV1a-R. Similarly, the A334M mutant also displayed a significant but more reduced shift of its affinity for the SSR149415 (8.9-fold gain in affinity as compared with the wild-type hV1a-R) (Fig. 4 and Table 2). The effects of these two single mutations were not additive because the double mutant M220T/A334M displayed an affinity for SSR149415 similar to that determined for the A334M mutant (Table 2). By contrast, the L181F mutant did not exhibit any significant gain in affinity for the selective V1b antagonist (Fig. 4 and Table 2). The S338N mutant, we even observed a small (3.2-fold) decrease of affinity for the SSR149415 molecule. This decreased affinity could be explained by the probable loss of one hydrogen bond between the \(\rho\)-methoxy oxygen atom of the antago-
induced IP response was further investigated. As expected and illustrated on Fig. 5B, the potency of SSR149415 to inhibit AVP-stimulated IP accumulation was strongly affected as compared with CHO cells expressing wild-type AVP receptors. The inhibition constants (Kinact) of SSR149415 for M220T and A334M mutants were 36.5- and 4.6-fold reduced, respectively, as compared with wild-type hV1a-R (Table 3).

Fig. 2. SSR149415 Docked into the Binding Cavity of hV1b-R
A, As described in Materials and Methods, the Gold 1.2 docking program (41) was used to automatically dock the selective V1b antagonist SR149415. The best solution proposed by the Gold program is illustrated (fitness score of 41.16). Residues are numbered according to their position in the primary sequence of the hV1b-R. Cyan and white sticks display carbon atoms of the SSR149415 compound and of the V1b-R, respectively. B, Schematic view of the interaction model. Putative intermolecular H-bonds are displayed by dotted lines. All residues potentially interacting with the different parts of the nonpeptide SSR149415 are shown. Numbering of the residues and of the TM helices is identical to the one used in panel A. Intermolecular H-bonds are displayed by dotted lines. Numbers in parentheses indicate the TM helix to which the current residue belongs.

Potential Role of the E2 Loop in hV1b-R Selectivity: a Chimeric Approach

The roles of some extracellular loops of the V1a and V2 receptors in ligand binding have been previously demonstrated (13, 15). We thus hypothesized that the E2 loop may also be involved in the hV1b-R selectivity because its amino acid sequence varied from one AVP receptor isoform to another. To validate this hypothe-
sis, we replaced the wild-type hV1a-R E2 loop by the hV1b-R counterpart (Fig. 3). Saturation binding experiments showed that the resulting chimeric receptor (V1a/E2V1b) bound [3H]AVP with a nanomolar affinity (Table 1). Unfortunately, competition experiments did not reveal any significant modifications of its affinity whatever the selective VP analogs tested (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This study describes, for the first time, some structural features concerning the hV1b-R and provides information about its interaction with the selective nonpeptide antagonist SSR149415.

First we developed a 3D molecular model of the hV1b-R. This model integrates 1) the structural data from Palczewski et al. (29) concerning the bovine rhodopsin, the first crystallized GPCR and 2) information from the 3D model of the hV1a-R recently published and validated (26). The docking of SSR149415 into the hV1b-R molecular model was performed as previously described for SR49059 into the hV1a-R using the Gold program. From this interaction model, it was proposed that only four amino acid residues in close contact with SSR149415 differ between the hV1b and the hV1a receptors.

**Table 1.** Binding Properties of Wild-Type and Mutant AVP Receptors for [3H]AVP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AVP Receptor</th>
<th>Kd (nM)</th>
<th>Bmax (fmol/mg protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type receptors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1a</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.05</td>
<td>994 ± 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1b</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2714 ± 559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single- and double-mutant receptors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L181F</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.27</td>
<td>700 ± 280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M220T</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.06</td>
<td>706 ± 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A334M</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.16</td>
<td>943 ± 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S338N</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.13</td>
<td>890 ± 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M220T/A334M</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.08</td>
<td>368 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimeric receptor V1a/E2V1b</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.05</td>
<td>451 ± 94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Membranes from CHO cells expressing human wild-type V1a, V1b receptors and L181F, M220T, A334M, S338N, M220T/A334M, V1a/E2V1b, V1a mutated receptors, were incubated with increasing amounts of [3H]AVP in the presence (nonspecific binding) or absence (total binding) of 1 μM unlabeled AVP as described in Materials and Methods. Specific binding was calculated. The dissociation constant (Kd) and the maximal binding capacity (Bmax) of each AVP receptor were determined from Scatchard representation as described in Materials and Methods. Data are the mean ± SEM of at least three separate experiments each performed in triplicate.

![Fig. 3. Schematic Representation of Wild-Type, Mutant, and Chimeric AVP Receptors Used in This Study.](image)

Black and white circles represent amino acids from the wild-type hV1a and the hV1b receptors, respectively. Lower left panel, single mutant of each amino acid modified and double mutant of the 220 and 334 residues generated. Lower right panel, V1a/E2V1b chimeric receptor.
Fig. 4. Binding Properties of Wild-Type and Mutant AVP Receptors
A, B, C, E, and F, Membrane preparations of CHO cells expressing the human wild-type V$_{1a}$ (Δ), V$_{1b}$ (○) receptor and the L181F (□), A334M (△), S338N (○), M220T/A334M, and V$_{1a}$/E2V$_{1b}$ mutated receptors were incubated as described in Materials and Methods with 0.5–1 nM [³H]AVP in the absence (C, control) or in the presence of increasing amounts of unlabeled SSR149415, SR49059, SR121463, d[Cha$_{4}$]AVP, or F-180. Specific binding, measured in each condition, is expressed as percent of control.
Materials and Methods.

Table 2. Binding Properties of Wild-Type and Mutant AVP Receptors for Various Selective AVP Receptor Compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AVP Receptor</th>
<th>Antagonists</th>
<th>Agonists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSR49059*</td>
<td>[125I]-HO-LVA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type receptors</td>
<td>SSR149415*</td>
<td>d[Cha4]AVP*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1a</td>
<td>110 ± 11</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1b</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.35</td>
<td>151 ± 11*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Single- and double-mutant receptors</th>
<th>Affinity (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L181F, M220T, A334M</td>
<td>90.8 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S338N, A334M</td>
<td>12.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M220T/A334M</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimeric receptor</th>
<th>Affinity (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V1a/E2V1b</td>
<td>150 ± 70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Binding assays were performed as described in Materials and Methods. Kᵢ values (*) were calculated from dose-displacement curves illustrated in Fig. 4. Kᵢ values of [125I]-HO-LVA (*) were determined as previously described for [3H]AVP, from saturation experiments not illustrated in this study. Data are the mean ± SEM of at least three separate experiments each performed in triplicate.

hV1a receptors may be potentially involved in the V1b selectivity of SSR149415: Phe164, Thr203, Met324, and Asn328. Site-directed mutagenesis and analysis of the binding and functional properties of the resulting mutants revealed that Phe164, the side chain of which points outward of the binding crevice in our current V1a and V1b models, is not involved in the V1b selectivity as well as Asn328. By contrast, Thr203 and/or the Met324 residues represent the minimal structural motif responsible for the selectivity of hV1b-R for SSR149415. This selectivity derives probably from the abilities of the Thr203 and Met324 amino acids to establish H bond and hydrophobic interactions, respectively, with the SSR149415 compound as suggested by the interaction model proposed (see Fig. 2). We also might expect to observe an increase of the affinity of the double mutant for SSR149415. Its seems difficult to explain this nonadditivity of effects at the molecular level. Yet, as the M220T mutation contributes to enlarge the cavity around the TM5, whereas the A334M mutation limits the size of a remote subsite at TM7, it is possible that the double mutant undergoes small conformational rearrangements to optimize the packing of the TM7 bundle that slightly decreases the affinity of the selective V1b antagonist SSR149415 for the double mutant.

Interestingly, although the selective V1a antagonist SR49059 and the selective V1b antagonist SSR149415 share a common structure, they are proposed to bind to their cognate receptor in a very different manner (Fig. 6). The indoline ring of SSR149415 is embedded in a hydrophobic pocket located between TMs 3 and 6, whereas the corresponding scaffold in SR49059 interacts mainly with TM5. Likewise, the dimethoxyphenyl moiety of SSR149415 is essentially directed toward TM7, whereas that of SR49059 mainly interacts with TMs 5 and 6 (Fig. 6). Last, the prolinamide moieties of both antagonists are located in opposite directions in the TM cavity of both AVP receptors (Fig. 6). The main two positions demonstrated to control V1b selectivity are located at opposite extremities of the antagonist binding cavity (Thr203 on TM5, Met324 on TM7) and are spatially distant from approximately 18 Å (measured from the Cα atoms). These two positions, 5.42 and 7.39 in the Ballesteros numbering (30), are key determinants of most GPCR antagonist-binding sites (31).

The current V1b-SSR149415 3D model, as well as the recently described V1a-SR49059 model (38), provides a good explanation for the herein reported mutation effects at the above cited two positions. Assuming a conserved binding mode of one individual antagonist to both receptors, the M220T mutation in the V1a-R favors the binding of SSR149415 by creation of an additional H-bonding site for the prolinamide moiety, whereas the A334M mutation will reinforce the hydrophobic interactions to the dimethoxyphenyl group (Fig. 6). Conversely, the latter mutations will...
disfavor binding of the selective V\textsubscript{1a} antagonist SR49059 by increasing the size and hydrophilicity of the lipophilic cavity around its indoline ring (M220T mutation in V\textsubscript{1a} residue numbering), and creating steric clashes with the prolinamide moiety (A334M).

Yet, both the M220T and A334M mutants, the affinity of which for SSR149415 is greatly increased, do not exhibit a significant modification of their $K_I$ for the natural hormone AVP and display only a small reduction in their affinities for SR49059 and $[{\text{125}}I] \text{-HO-LVA}$ (see Table 2). Results concerning the selective V\textsubscript{1b} agonist d[Cha\textsuperscript{4}]AVP are slightly different. According to the mutant considered, we observed either a significant slight decrease (M220T) or increase (A334M) of their affinities for d[Cha\textsuperscript{4}]AVP. Such results would indicate that a mutation introduced in position 220 or 334 of the hV\textsubscript{1a}-R directly or indirectly affects the interaction of this selective agonist with the mutant, in contrast to the nonselective agonist AVP (compare Tables 1 and 2). Such properties are probably in relation to the ability of AVP and d[Cha\textsuperscript{4}]AVP to differentially activate phospholipase C in CHO cells expressing the hV\textsubscript{1a}-R (d[Cha\textsuperscript{4}]AVP being a partial agonist of this receptor subtype as compared with AVP; data not shown) and may reflect distinct interactions with the TM domains involved in the coupling to heterotrimeric G proteins. An accurate analysis of the residues involved in the binding of AVP and/or d[Cha\textsuperscript{4}]AVP to a putative active state model of AVP receptors is necessary to validate these assumptions and to verify whether the specificity of the V\textsubscript{1b}-R subtype could not be assumed by specific residues located within the lower part of the TM domains, generally known to affect the coupling properties. All together, these experiments suggest that a single mutation of the hV\textsubscript{1a}-R may strongly affect its binding properties shifting from a V\textsubscript{1a} to a V\textsubscript{1b} pharmacological profile. This may also explain why the V\textsubscript{1a} and V\textsubscript{1b} receptor isoforms are phylogenetically so closed. In contrast to the V\textsubscript{2}-R isoform, they share the ability to activate phospholipases C via a $G_{\text{q/11}}$ heterotrimeric G protein and differ especially by the structure of their binding site for antagonists such as SSR149415.

If the upper part of the TM helices of the group 1 GPCR family (including the AVP/OT receptors) are known to form a binding pocket for their specific ligands (32), a contribution of their extracellular loops to ligand selectivity has also been demonstrated. Hence the residue 115, located at the border between TM2 and the first extracellular domain, plays a key role in the pharmacological properties of the V\textsubscript{1a}, V\textsubscript{1b}, and oxytocin receptor subtypes (13). Similarly, the E2 loop of the hV\textsubscript{2}-R isoform represents an important structural motif for discriminating between V\textsubscript{2} agonist and antagonist (15).
As the E2 loop from the three AVP receptor isoforms is quite different in terms of amino acid sequence, as compared with the first and third extracellular loops, we decided to verify whether this extracellular domain may control the ligand accessibility to the binding pocket (see Ref. 29) and could play a role in the hV1b-R selectivity. A chimeric hV1a-R, the E2 loop of which was replaced by that of the hV1b-R (V1a/E2V1b), was thus generated and tested for its binding selectivity. Despite a weak amino acid sequence homology between the hV1a-R and hV1b-R E2 loops (47%), no significant modification of the pharmacological properties of this chimera was observed as compared with the wild-type hV1a-R. Yet, on the basis of these experiments performed at equilibrium, we cannot totally exclude a potential role of the E2 loop.

This structural information concerning the hV1b-R with respect to those previously described for the V1a and V2 receptors, allow interesting comparisons regarding the binding site of specific AVP receptor antagonists. For the three AVP receptor subtypes, various peptide and nonpeptide antagonists were shown to interact with amino acids mainly located at the top of TM domains (15–17, 19), suggesting that the binding sites of these ligands are localized in a binding cleft formed by the upper part of these α-helical domains. Interestingly, the amino acids responsible for the AVP receptors selectivity toward antagonists varied from one isoform to another. Thus, to date, we know that these residues are located on TM domains 5 and 7 for the V1b-R (present study) on TM domains 2, 3, 4, 6, and 7 for the V1a-R (16, 19) and restricted to the seventh helix for the V2-R (15).

Obviously, as indicated before, the knowledge of the residues of the V1b-R allowing the binding discrimination between agonists and antagonists is also important. The results reported here clearly show that the Thr203 and Met324 residues are involved mainly in the binding of specific V1b antagonist because the M220T and A334M V1a mutants both exhibited strong increases in affinity for SSR149415 without important Kd/Ki modifications for AVP and d[Cha4]AVP. Yet, further investigations will be necessary to accurately localize the molecular determinants that define agonist binding into the hV1b-R.

In conclusion, these first results about the hV1b-R functional architecture, allow a better virtual screening of new selective V1b antagonists. Such new compounds are of potential therapeutic interest because, as previously observed, SSR149415, the first designed V1b antagonist, reduced the level of stress and anxiety in the rat as efficiently as classical benzodiazepines with perhaps a safer profile (20).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Most standard chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO), Roche Molecular Biochemicals (Mannheim, Germany), or Merck & Co., Inc. (Darmstadt, Germany), unless otherwise indicated. AVP came from Bachem (Bubendorf, Switzerland). SSR149415, SR49059, and SR121463 were from Sanofi-Synthelabo Laboratories (Montpellier, France). [3H]AVP (60–80 Ci/mmol), was from Perkin-Elmer Life Sciences (Courtabœuf, France). HO-LVA was a...
Modeling the Antagonist-Bound State of the hV1b-R

Alignment of Amino Acid Sequences

The amino acid sequences of the human V1a and V1b AVP receptor subtypes were retrieved from the Swiss-Prot database (accession nos.: V1a-R, P37288; V1b-R, P47901) and aligned to the sequence of bovine rhodopsin (accession no. P02699) using the in-house developed GPCRmod program (34) focusing on TM domains only. The alignment of the amino- and carboxy-terminal domains as well as the intracellular and extracellular loops was realized using ClustalW (35). A slow pair-wise alignment using BLOSUM matrix series (36) and a gap opening penalty of 15.0 were chosen for aligning slow pair-wise alignment using BLOSUM matrix series (36) and a gap opening penalty of 15.0 were chosen for aligning the nonpeptide V1b antagonist were computed using a previously described procedure (40). The minimizations were carried out by 1000 steps of steepest descent followed by conjugate gradient minimization until the rms gradient of the potential energy was less than 0.05 kcal/mol Å. A twin cut-off (10.0, 15.0 Å) was used to calculate the nonbonded electrostatic interactions at every minimization step, and the nonbonded pair list was updated every 25 steps. A distance-dependent (r = 4r) dielectric function was used.

Automated Docking of a Selective V1b Antagonist (SSR149415)

The Gold 1.2 docking program (41) was used to automatically dock the selective V1b antagonist SSR149415. For each of the 10 independent genetic algorithm (GA) runs, a maximum number of 1000 GA operations was performed on a single population of 50 individuals. Operator weights for cross-over, mutation, and migration were set to 100, 100, and 0, respectively. To allow poor nonbonded contacts at the start of each GA run, the maximum distance between hydrogen donors and fitting points was set to 5 Å, and nonbonded van der Waals energies were cut off at a value equal to k, well depth of the van der Waals energy for the atom pair [4]. To further speed up the calculation, the GA docking was stopped when the top three solutions were within 1.5 Å rms deviations. If this criterion is met, we can assume that these top solutions represent a reproducible pose for the ligand.

Site-Directed Mutagenesis

All the mutations were generated into the hV1a-R sequence. The pRK5 expression vector containing the hV1a-R cDNA and the ampicillin resistance gene was kindly provided by Dr. B. Mouillac. Amino acid replacements were generated by PCR using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). For single-amino acid replacements (L181F, M220T, A334M, and S338N), cDNA of the wild-type hV1a-R was used as the template with the appropriate mutated oligonucleotides primers. The double mutant M220T/A334M was generated as described above using cDNA of the M220T mutant as the template and mutated oligonucleotides primers corresponding to the A334M mutation. PCR products were transformed into the Escherichia coli XL-1 blue bacteria strain, and positive clones were selected under ampicillin selection. Plasmid cDNAs of positive clones were then purified with the Qiaprep Spin Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN, Courtaboeuf, France). All the mutations were verified by sequencing (Genome Express, Meylan, France). For further amplification of positive clones the E. coli DH5α bacteria strain (Invitrogen) was used.

Construction of the Chimeric V1b-R Containing the V1a E2 Loop

Modifications were performed in the hV1b-R cDNA inserted into the pRK5 expression vector containing the ampicillin resistance gene. AflII and XhoI enzymatic cleavage sites were respectively introduced at the 3′- and 5′-ends of the E2 loop of the hV1b-R cDNA by site-directed mutagenesis with the appropriate oligonucleotides primers. These mutations were silent because they did not modify the amino acid sequence of the wild-type V1b-R. Specific digestion of each side of the V1a E2 loop with appropriate restriction enzymes was done, and an oligonucleotide sequence corresponding to the E2 loop of the hV1b-R was then introduced by T4 ligase treatment. Each construct was transformed, grown, and ampicillin selected into the E. coli DH5α bacteria strain. All the constructs were verified by sequencing (Genome Express).

cDNA Expression of Wild-Type and Mutant AVP Receptors and Cell Culture

The human V1a and V1b wild-type receptors were stably expressed in Chinese Hamster Ovary cells (CHO) as previously described (16). The mutants and chimera of the hV1γ-R were transiently expressed in CHO cells by electroporation. Briefly, the cells (10⁵/0.3 ml) were suspended in an electroporation buffer containing: 50 mM K₂HPO₄; 20 mM C₂H₃KO₂; 20 mM KOH, pH 7.4; 20 μg of carrier DNA (pRK5 expression vector without any insert); 0.5–2μg of the expression vector containing the mutated receptor cDNA and 40 mM MgSO₄; and then incubated for 20 min at room temperature before being pulsed (280 V, 950 μF, Bio-Rad Apparatus).

The CHO cells expressing the wild-type and mutated AVP receptors were plated in 150-mm Petri dishes or 24-well
plates depending upon the experiment to be conducted. Cells were maintained in culture in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 500 U/ml penicillin and streptomycin, and nonessential amino acids in an atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO2 at 37 C. Cells were used 48 h after seeding both for binding and functional assays.

Membrane Preparations

CHO cells stably or transiently transfected with the wild-type or the mutated AVP receptors were harvested, washed two times in PBS without calcium and magnesium, Polytron homogenized in lysis buffer (15 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 2 mM MgCl2, 0.3 mM EDTA), and centrifuged at 100 x g for 5 min at 4 C. The supernatants were recovered and centrifuged at 44,000 x g for 20 min at 4 C. The pellets were washed in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4)-3 mM MgCl2 (buffer A) and centrifuged at 44,000 x g for 20 min at 4 C. The membranes were suspended in a small volume of buffer A and their protein contents were determined. Aliquots of membranes were used immediately or stored at -80 C.

Radioligand-Binding Assay

Membrane-binding assays were performed at 30 C as described previously (42) with [3H]AVP or [125I]HO-LVA as radioligands. Affinities of [3H]AVP and [125I]-HO-LVA (Kd) for the wild-type and the mutated AVP receptors were determined in saturation experiments using concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 nM and 10 to 1500 pM, respectively. For each concentration of radioligand, total and nonspecific binding were determined in the absence or presence of 1 μM of unlabelled AVP, respectively. Affinities of the unlabeled ligands (Ki) were determined in competition experiments using 0.5 to 1 nM [3H]AVP (a concentration close to the Kd value of the wild-type or the mutated receptors studied) and increasing concentrations of the unlabeled analogs (Kd) were tested (0.1 nM to 10 μM). Radioactivity found associated to plasma membranes was determined by filtration through GF/C filters, and specific binding was calculated as previously described (42).

IP Assays

Total IP accumulation was determined as previously described (43). Briefly, CHO cells stably or transiently transfected with the wild-type and the mutated receptors were grown for 24 h in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. Cells were further incubated for another 24-h period in a serum and isonitro-free medium supplemented with 1 μCi/ml 1-myo-[3H]inositol. Cells were then washed twice with a Hank's buffered saline (HBS) medium. For stimulation experiments, the cells were preincubated for 15 min in HBS supplemented with 20 mM LiCl and further stimulated for 15 min with the agonists to be tested. For inhibition experiments, the cells were preincubated 15 min with HBS/LiCl medium supplemented with the antagonist to be tested and further stimulated for 15 min with 1 nM AVP. The reaction was stopped by adding perchloric acid (5% vol/vol). Total IPs accumulated were extracted, purified on Dowex AG1-X8 anion exchange chromatography column, and counted.

Data Analysis

The radioligand binding data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). The Kd values of the radioligands were determined according to the Scatchard linearization of the saturation curve obtained (44). The Kd values for unlabeled analogs were calculated from binding competition experiments according to the Cheng and Prusoff equation (45): Kd = IC50 x (Kd/Kd + [L]), where IC50 is the concentration of unlabeled analog leading to half-maximal inhibition of specific binding. [L] is the concentration of the radioligand present in the assay, and Kd is its affinity for the receptor studied. Concentrations of analog leading to half-maximal stimulation (Kact) or inhibition (Kinact) of IP accumulation were calculated from functional studies using GraphPad PRISM. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM of the number of distinct experiments indicated.
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